

COLONIAL COMMERCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2006 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2005</u>	1
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2006 and 2005</u>	2
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2006 and 2005</u>	3
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	4
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	9
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	13
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	14
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION		
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	14
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	16

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**Item 1. Financial Statements**COLONIAL COMMERCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

	March 31, 2006 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2005
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash	\$ 563,156	\$ 613,456
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$169,268 in 2006 and \$185,971 in 2005	7,707,152	8,489,717
Inventory	14,314,466	12,214,261
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	980,841	1,066,658
Deferred tax asset – current portion	<u>637,500</u>	<u>637,500</u>
Total current assets	24,203,115	23,021,592
Property and equipment	1,653,514	1,669,484
Goodwill	1,628,133	1,628,133
Other intangibles	7,917	11,334
Other assets – noncurrent	122,713	135,832
Deferred tax asset – noncurrent	<u>1,071,000</u>	<u>1,071,000</u>
	<u>\$ 28,686,392</u>	<u>\$ 27,537,375</u>
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Trade payables	\$ 6,802,202	\$ 5,991,946
Accrued liabilities	1,911,084	2,047,159
Income taxes payable	19,029	12,772
Borrowings under credit facility – revolving credit	12,336,234	11,183,008
Borrowings under credit facility – term loan	312,977	562,977
Notes payable – current portion; includes related party notes of \$30,000 in 2006 and \$60,389 in 2005	<u>134,677</u>	<u>165,112</u>
Total current liabilities	21,516,203	19,962,974
Notes payable, excluding current portion; includes related party notes of \$1,010,000 in 2006 and \$1,000,625 in 2005	<u>1,407,671</u>	<u>1,400,834</u>
Total liabilities	<u>22,923,874</u>	<u>21,363,808</u>
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Redeemable convertible preferred stock, \$.05 par value, 2,500,000 shares authorized, 475,566 in 2006 and 484,721 in 2005 shares issued and outstanding, liquidation preference of \$2,377,830 in 2006 and \$2,423,605 in 2005	23,778	24,236
Common stock, \$.05 par value, 20,000,000 shares authorized, 4,553,614 in 2006 and 4,544,459 in 2005 shares issued and outstanding	227,681	227,223
Additional paid-in capital	10,638,899	10,626,859
Accumulated deficit	<u>(5,127,840)</u>	<u>(4,704,751)</u>
Total stockholders' equity	<u>5,762,518</u>	<u>6,173,567</u>
	<u>\$ 28,686,392</u>	<u>\$ 27,537,375</u>

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

COLONIAL COMMERCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations
(Unaudited)

	For The Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
Sales	\$ 14,884,214	\$ 13,347,318
Cost of sales	<u>10,297,447</u>	<u>9,094,248</u>
Gross profit	4,586,767	4,253,070
Selling, general and administrative expenses, net	<u>4,756,862</u>	<u>4,250,624</u>
Operating (loss) income	(170,095)	2,446
Other income	65,789	61,877
Interest expense, net; includes related party interest of \$25,629 in 2006 and \$21,820 in 2005	<u>(299,662)</u>	<u>(243,560)</u>
Loss before income taxes	(403,968)	(179,237)
Income tax expense	<u>19,121</u>	<u>19,696</u>
Net loss	<u>\$ (423,089)</u>	<u>\$ (198,933)</u>
Loss per common share:		
Basic	<u>\$ (0.09)</u>	<u>\$ (0.05)</u>
Diluted	<u>\$ (0.09)</u>	<u>\$ (0.05)</u>
Weighted average shares outstanding:		
Basic	<u>4,549,411</u>	<u>4,165,183</u>
Diluted	<u>4,549,411</u>	<u>4,165,183</u>

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

COLONIAL COMMERCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)

	For The Three Months Ended	
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (423,089)	\$ (198,933)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:		
Stock-based compensation	–	(10,900)
Provision for doubtful accounts	59,844	35,170
Depreciation	106,690	98,737
Amortization of intangibles	3,417	6,694
Accretion of debt discount	9,375	9,375
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable	722,721	787,790
Inventory	(2,100,205)	(835,513)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	97,857	308,477
Other assets – noncurrent	13,119	13,896
Trade payables	810,256	436,259
Accrued liabilities	(136,075)	(23,308)
Income taxes payable	6,257	(10,846)
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	<u>(829,833)</u>	<u>616,898</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Additions to property and equipment	<u>(90,720)</u>	<u>(107,995)</u>
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(90,720)</u>	<u>(107,995)</u>
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Issuance of common stock and exercise of stock options	–	3,500
Repayments of notes payable: includes related party repayments of \$30,389 in 2006, and \$0.00 in 2005.	(46,046)	(14,434)
Issuance of notes payable	13,073	–
Repayments under credit facility – term loan	(250,000)	(353,690)
Borrowings (repayments) under credit facility – revolving credit	<u>1,153,226</u>	<u>(25,399)</u>
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	<u>870,253</u>	<u>(390,023)</u>
(Decrease) increase in cash	(50,300)	118,880
Cash – beginning of period	<u>613,456</u>	<u>310,659</u>
Cash – end of period	<u>\$ 563,156</u>	<u>\$ 429,539</u>

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

COLONIAL COMMERCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes To Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2006
(Unaudited)

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Practices

The condensed consolidated financial statements of Colonial Commercial Corp. and Subsidiaries (the "Company") included herein have been prepared by the Company and are unaudited; however, such information reflects all adjustments (consisting solely of normal recurring adjustments), which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows for the interim periods to which the report relates. The results of operations for the period ended March 31, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results that may be achieved for the full year.

Certain information and footnote disclosures, normally included in consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, have been condensed or omitted. It is suggested that these consolidated financial statements be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

We have one continuing industry segment – wholesale distribution of heating, ventilation, air conditioning equipment and plumbing fixtures.

Inventory is comprised of finished goods.

Stock Options

The Company adopted SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" (SFAS No. 123R), which supersedes Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." The revised statement addresses the accounting for share-based payment transactions with employees and other third parties, eliminates the ability to account for share-based transactions using APB No. 25 and requires that the compensation costs relating to such transactions be recognized in the consolidated financial statements. SFAS No. 123R requires additional disclosures relating to the income tax and cash flow effects resulting from share-based payments. The Company has adopted the modified prospective application method of SFAS No. 123(R), effective January 1, 2006, and expects that the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), will have an immaterial impact on its consolidated results of operations and earnings per share.

The Company recognized stock-based compensation related to option repricing for options previously awarded using the intrinsic-value method. For the quarter ended March 31, 2005, the amount of stock based compensation was \$(10,900). During the quarters ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, no stock options were granted and all outstanding options were fully vested.

In June 1996, the Company adopted the 1996 Stock Option Plan (the "1996 Plan") to grant options to key employees and other persons who render service (non-employee) to the Company.

At March 31, 2006, a total of 106,000 options were outstanding under the Company's 1996 Stock Option Plan which expired December 31, 2005. The outstanding options have expiration dates ranging from 2009 to 2013.

The following table summarizes information about stock options at March 31, 2006:

Options Outstanding and Exercisable

Range of Exercise Prices	Shares	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$.25	106,000	5.37	\$.25

The following table illustrates the effect on net loss if the fair-value-based method had been applied to all outstanding awards for the quarter ended March 31, 2005.

	For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2005
Net loss, as reported	\$ (198,933)
Add: Stock-based compensation related to option repricing	(10,900)
Pro forma net loss	\$ (209,833)
Basic loss per share, as reported	(0.05)
Basic loss per share, pro forma	(0.05)
Diluted loss per share, as reported	(0.05)
Diluted loss per share, pro forma	(0.05)

2. Equity Transactions

During the quarter ended March 31, 2006, holders of a total of 9,155 shares of redeemable preferred stock converted these shares into 9,155 shares of common stock.

3. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

The following is supplemental information relating to the consolidated statements of cash flows:

	For the Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2006	March 31, 2005
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 283,714	\$ 208,387
Income taxes	\$ 57,364	\$ 44,906

4. Net Loss Per Common Share

Employee stock options totaling 106,000 and 180,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, were not included in the income per share calculation because their effect would have been anti-dilutive. Convertible preferred stock, convertible into 475,566 shares of common stock and 790,360 shares of common stock for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, were not included in the net loss per share because their effects would have been anti-dilutive. Convertible notes, convertible into 175,000 shares of common stock were not included in the income per share calculation for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 because their effect would have been anti-dilutive.

5. Financing Arrangements

At March 31, 2006, amounts outstanding under the credit facility were \$12,649,211, of which \$312,977 represents a term loan payable in three monthly installments of approximately \$83,333 and a final payment of approximately \$62,977. In addition, the Company has a standby letter of credit in the amount of \$300,000 which expires on July 31, 2006. Availability under the revolving credit line is determined by a percentage of available assets as defined in the Agreement, less letter of credit and reserves, and was \$646,962 as of March 31, 2006. The interest rate on the \$312,977 term loan was 8.25% (prime plus .5%) as of March 31, 2006. The interest rate on the remaining credit facility, as of March 31, 2006 was 7.5% (prime minus .25%).

6. Litigation

Universal Supply Group, Inc.

Universal Supply Group, Inc. is a New York corporation ("Universal"). On June 25, 1999, Universal acquired substantially all of the assets of Universal Supply Group, Inc., a New Jersey corporation, including its name, pursuant to the terms of a purchase agreement. The Company filed a copy of the purchase agreement with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 30, 1999 as Exhibit 10(g) on Form 10KSB, and the Company filed a copy of an amendment to the purchase agreement on July 9, 1999 as Exhibit 10(a)(ii) on Form 8-K. Subsequent to the sale, Universal Supply Group, Inc. (the selling corporation) formerly known as Universal Engineering Co., Inc., changed its name to Hilco, Inc. Hilco, Inc. acquired the assets of Amber Supply Co., Inc., formerly known as Amber Oil Burner Supply Co., Inc., in 1998, prior to Hilco's sale of assets to Universal. Hilco, Inc. is hereinafter referred to as the "Predecessor." The majority shareholders of Hilco, Inc. were John A. Hildebrandt and Paul Hildebrandt.

[Contents](#)

The Company understands that Predecessor and many other companies have been sued in the Superior Court of New Jersey (Middlesex County) by plaintiffs filing lawsuits alleging injury due to asbestos. Currently, there exist 123 plaintiffs in these lawsuits relating to alleged sales of asbestos products, or products containing asbestos, by the Predecessor. The Company never sold any asbestos related products.

Of the existing plaintiffs, 5 filed actions in 2006, 15 filed actions in 2005, 38 filed actions in 2004, 31 filed actions in 2003, and 34 filed actions in 2002. Seventy-eight other plaintiffs have had their actions dismissed and seven other plaintiffs have settled as of March 31, 2006 for a total of \$3,306,000. There has been no judgment against the Predecessor. Our Universal subsidiary was named by twenty-one of the existing plaintiffs; of these, six filed actions in 2001, one filed an action in 2003, eleven filed actions in 2005 and three filed actions in 2006. No case that names our Universal subsidiary has been settled or dismissed.

As set forth in more detail below, the Company has been indemnified against asbestos-based claims, and insurance companies are defending the interests of the Predecessor and the Company in these cases.

Based on advice of counsel, the Company believes that none of the litigation that was brought against the Company's Universal subsidiary through March 31, 2006 is material, and that the only material litigation that was brought against Predecessor through that date was Rhodes v. A.O. Smith Corporation, filed on April 26, 2004 in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Law Division, Middlesex County, Docket Number MID-L-2979-04AS. The Company was advised that the Rhodes case was settled for \$3,250,000 under an agreement reached in connection with a \$10,000,000 jury verdict that was rendered on August 5, 2005. The Company was not a defendant in the Rhodes case.

The Company believes that Rhodes differed from the other lawsuits in that plaintiff established that he contracted mesothelioma as a result of his occupational exposure to asbestos dust and fibers and that a predecessor of the Company was a major supplier of the asbestos containing products that allegedly caused his disease.

Indemnification

John A. Hildebrandt, Paul Hildebrandt and the Predecessor have jointly and severally agreed to indemnify our Universal subsidiary from and against any and all damages, liabilities and claims due to exposure to asbestos at any time prior to the June 25, 1999 closing of the purchase agreement referred to earlier. These agreements are set forth in the purchase agreement. Paul Hildebrandt, one of the indemnitors, was a director of the Company from September 29, 2004 to January 28, 2005.

The indemnitors may use their own counsel to defend these claims. The indemnitors are not liable for any settlement effected without their consent. The indemnitors may settle and pay money claims without the consent of the Company. There is no indemnification unless claims aggregate \$50,000; once this trigger point is reached, indemnification is required for all claims, including the first \$50,000, but excluding claims of less than \$10,000. The indemnification requirement survives at least until 30 days after the running of any relevant statutes of limitation.

The obligation of the indemnitors is joint and several, so that the Company can have recourse against any one or more of these indemnitors, whether or not any other indemnitor has previously defaulted on its obligation to us. There are no other limitations to our rights to indemnification.

Insurance

The assets that the Predecessor sold to us included its insurance policies and other agreements and contracts. The policies provide coverage for liability accruing during the periods for which premiums were paid. The Predecessor was formed in 1940. Copies of policies are available for each year beginning in 1970 and ending with the closing under the purchase agreement in 1999. Copies of policies for the period from 1940 to 1969 are not available.

Insurance companies acknowledge coverage for potential asbestos claims under certain of these policies. Insurance companies under additional policies have reserved their right to deny coverage but have continued to defend and indemnify the Predecessor and the Company under the contested policies.

There are periods during the years from 1940 to 1999 in which our Predecessor did not have coverage for potential asbestos claims. Subject to litigation, insurance companies may maintain that the existence of these periods' results in coverage for only a portion of a particular injury that varies with the period during which there was asbestos coverage relating to the injury, and that the balance of any settlement or judgment is to be paid by the insured. To date, no insurance company has claimed any contribution for a gap in coverage except for a claim for \$159.64 made by one insurance company to the Predecessor in 1995. The Predecessor asserted that it had no obligation to pay this amount and did not make any payment.

Insurance companies have to date defended us and the Predecessor, and have paid all settlement amounts and defense costs. Except for \$159.64 referred to above, the insurance companies have not requested any payments from us or from the Predecessor.

Our Universal subsidiary has not engaged in the sale of asbestos products since its formation in 1997. Its product liability policies for all years since 1998 exclude asbestos claims.

General

Regardless of indemnification and insurance coverage, we do not in any event consider our Company to be liable for the asbestos-based lawsuits that name us or for any other claim that arises as a result of actions or omissions by Predecessor companies. We expressly disclaimed the assumption of any liabilities when we purchased the assets of the Predecessor. It is our opinion that the existing asbestos litigation will not have a material adverse effect on the Company. Nevertheless, we could be materially and adversely affected if we are held liable for substantial asbestos claims or if the Company incurs substantial legal or settlement costs. This material and adverse effect would occur if indemnitors fail to honor their indemnification agreements and insurance is not available either because policy limits are exceeded, or because insurance companies successfully claim limitations on their liabilities by reason of gaps in coverage or otherwise.

Since we do not regard as likely the potential payment of any asbestos-based claim, we have not accrued any balance for any period relating to asbestos claims, and we have not recorded any amount for asbestos claims for any period in any of our financial statements.

7. New Accounting Pronouncements

None

8. Subsequent Events

Effective April 1, 2006, E. Bruce Fredrikson's annual retainer fee for serving as a Director and Chairman of the Audit Committee increased from \$8,000 to \$18,000. The fee is payable in \$4,500 installments in advance of each quarter.

Contents

On April 3, 2006, Ronald Miller obtained 12,000 shares of common stock, by exercising 12,000 outstanding stock options. Mr. Miller is a Director of the Company.

On April 17, 2006, Jack Rose obtained 12,000 shares of common stock, by exercising 12,000 outstanding stock options. Mr. Rose was a Director of the Company at the time of the transaction.

The Company's reports on Form 8-K and Form 8-K/A filed on April 21, 2006 and April 25, 2006, respectively, refer to sales of common stock by former directors of the Company to two current directors and other investors. Concurrently with these transactions, Messrs. Korn, Koon, Rose and Sussman resigned as Directors of the Company, and Mr. Korn also resigned as Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board. Michael Goldman, who continues as a Director of the Company, was elected as Chairman of the Board and William Pagano, also continues as a Director and was appointed Chief Executive Officer.

On May 2, 2006 holders of a total of 1,785 shares of redeemable preferred stock converted these shares into 1,785 shares of common stock.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The Company

Colonial Commercial Corp. ("Colonial") is a New York corporation, which was incorporated on October 28, 1964. Unless otherwise indicated, the term "Company" refers to Colonial Commercial Corp. and its consolidated subsidiaries. The Company's operations are conducted through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Universal Supply Group, Inc. ("Universal"), The RAL Supply Group, Inc. ("RAL") and American/Universal Supply, Inc. ("American").

Forward-Looking Statements

This report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements relating to such matters as anticipated financial performance and business prospects. When used in this report, the words, "anticipates," "expects," "believes," "may," "intends," and similar expressions are intended to be among the statements that identify forward-looking statements. From time to time, the Company may also publish forward-looking statements. The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, the consummation of certain events referred to in this report, technological changes, competitive factors, maintaining customer and vendor relationships, inventory obsolescence and availability, and other risks detailed in the Company's periodic filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, which could cause the Company's actual results and experience to differ materially from the anticipated results or other expectations expressed in the Company's forward-looking statements.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires that we make estimates and judgments that affect the amounts reported of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions we believe to be applicable and reasonable under the current circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

In addition, we are periodically faced with uncertainties, the outcomes of which are not within our control and will not be known for prolonged periods of time.

[Contents](#)

We believe the following to be critical accounting policies that affect the most significant estimates and judgments used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements:

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue for the Company primarily consists of sales of heating, ventilation and air conditioning equipment, climate control systems and plumbing fixtures and supplies. The Company recognizes revenue after shipment of products has occurred in accordance with the shipping terms. There are no further obligations on the part of the Company subsequent to revenue recognition, except for returns of defective products from the Company's customers, which are covered under the manufacturer's warranty. The Company will receive a vendor credit from the manufacturer related to the warranted product in question, at which time credits are issued to the customer. The Company does not provide a warranty on products sold; rather the warranty is provided by the manufacturer.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable consist of trade receivables recorded at original invoice amount, less an estimated allowance for uncollectible accounts. Trade credit is generally extended on a short-term basis; thus trade receivables generally do not bear interest. However, a service charge may be applied to receivables that are past due. These service charges are not recognized until collected, and are then included in other income. Trade receivables are periodically evaluated for collectibility based on past credit history with customers and their current financial condition. Changes in the estimated collectibility of trade receivables are recorded in the results of operations for the period in which the estimate is revised. Trade receivables that are deemed uncollectible are offset against the allowance for uncollectible accounts. The Company generally does not require collateral for trade receivables.

Inventory

Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market and consists solely of finished goods. Cost is determined using the first-in, first-out method.

Distribution costs of incoming freight, purchasing, receiving, inspection, warehousing and handling costs are included in selling, general and administrative expenses. Such costs were \$103,939 and \$98,948 for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is calculated on the straight line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

Computer hardware and software	3–5 years
Furniture and fixtures	5 years
Automobiles	3–5 years

Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the lease term or the estimated useful life of the asset.

Deferred Income Tax Asset

The Company's deferred income tax asset represents certain future tax benefits related to the expected utilization of net operating loss carryforwards. The Company records a valuation allowance against any portion of the deferred income tax asset when it believes, based on the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," requires that goodwill having indefinite lives not be amortized, but instead be tested for impairment at least annually. Intangible assets determined to have finite lives are amortized over their remaining useful lives.

Results of Operations For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2006 and 2005

Sales increased by 11.5%, or \$1,536,896, to \$14,884,214 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 from \$13,347,318 for the same period in 2005. The increase in sales is primarily a result of increased market penetration at two of the Company's subsidiaries, an increase in general industry pricing, and a continuing strong demand for residential and light commercial heating, air conditioning and plumbing fixtures, supplies and accessories.

Gross profit increased by 7.8%, or \$333,697, to \$4,586,767 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 from \$4,253,070 for the same period in 2005. Gross profit expressed as a percentage of sales declined by 1.1% to 30.8% in 2006 compared to 31.9% for the comparable period in 2005. The decrease in the percentage of gross profit is primarily the result of a change in product mix, for example, selling more low-margin high volume products without a corresponding increase in sales of higher margin products such as control systems, showroom plumbing and fixture sales. Cost of sales excludes the distribution costs of incoming freight, purchasing, receiving, inspection, warehousing and handling costs, as these costs are included in our selling, general and administrative expenses. Our gross margins may not be comparable to those of other entities since some entities include these distribution costs in the cost of sales. These distribution costs were \$103,939 and \$98,948 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The Company's net loss increased by 112.7%, or \$224,156, to \$423,089 for the three months ended March 31, 2006, compared to net loss of \$198,933 for the same period in 2005. Pre-tax loss increased by 125.4%, or \$224,731, to \$403,968 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 from \$179,237 for the same period in 2005.

This higher net loss was caused by increased expenses related to investments in personnel to improve customer service, expansion of our commercial departments, and added cost for increased gasoline, utility expenses and interest expenses. More specifically, selling, general and administrative expenses and cost of operations increased by 11.9%, or \$506,238, to \$4,756,862 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 from \$4,250,624 for the same period in 2005. Staffing costs increased by \$287,000, while costs for trucking, fuel, and facilities increased by \$96,932.

Other income increased by 6.3%, or \$3,912, to \$65,789 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 from \$61,877 for the same period in 2005. This increase is primarily the result of an increase in service charges collected in the three months ended March 31, 2006.

[Contents](#)

Interest expense, net increased by 23.0%, or \$56,102, to \$299,662 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 from \$243,560 for the same period in 2005. This increase is primarily the result of increasing interest rates and increased borrowings under the credit line to support higher inventory levels in preparation for the increased demand of sales of air conditioning products for the second quarter of 2006. The revolving credit line bears interest at .25% below prime rate, which was 7.75% at March 31, 2006 compared to 5.75% at March 31, 2005.

The following table summarizes information derived from the Company's consolidated statements of income expressed as a percentage of sales for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 and 2005.

	For the Quarter Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
Sales	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of sales	69.2%	68.1%
Gross profit	30.8%	31.9%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	31.9%	31.9%
Operating loss	(1.1)%	0.0%
Other income	0.4%	0.4%
Interest expense	(2.0)%	(1.8)%
Loss before taxes	(2.7)%	(1.4)%
Income taxes	(0.1)%	(0.1)%
Net loss	(2.8)%	(1.5)%

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company has a \$15,000,000 secured loan facility pursuant to a credit and security agreement (“Agreement”) with Wells Fargo Business Credit, Inc. (“Wells”). The facility consists of a revolving line of credit which expires on August 1, 2009, and a term loan with a balance of \$312,977 as of March 31, 2006, payable in three monthly installments of approximately \$83,333 and a final payment of approximately \$62,977. In addition, the Company has a standby letter of credit in the amount of \$300,000 which expires on July 31, 2006. Availability under the revolving credit line is determined by a percentage of available assets as defined in the Agreement, less letter of credit and reserves, and was \$646,962 as of March 31, 2006. The balance outstanding under the revolving line of credit including the term loan was \$12,649,211 as of March 31, 2006. The revolving credit line bears interest at .25% below prime, and the term loan bears interest at .50% above prime. Substantially all of the assets of the Company, as well as a pledge of the stock of Colonial Commercial Corp.'s operating subsidiaries, collateralize the loans. The facility contains covenants relating to the financial condition of the Company, its business operations, and restricts the payment of dividends, subordinated debt, purchase of securities and capital expenditures. The Company is in compliance with all of its financial loan covenants. All loans are due on demand by the bank, and accordingly, have been classified as current liabilities.

The Company believes that the credit facility is sufficient to finance its current operating needs. However, the business of the Company would be materially and adversely affected if the bank demands payment of the loan and the Company is unable to refinance the loan.

As of March 31, 2006, the Company had \$563,156 in cash compared with \$613,456 at December 31, 2005.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$829,833 for the quarter ended March 31, 2006. The net cash used in operating activities for the 2006 period is primarily a result of a net loss approximating \$423,089 and cash used in operating assets and liabilities approximating \$586,070, offset by non-cash charges approximating \$179,326. The decrease in accounts receivable approximating \$722,721 was primarily a result of the cyclical decrease in sales during the first quarter. Accounts payable increased due to additional inventory purchases in preparation for the increased demand of sales of air conditioning products for the second quarter of 2006.

Cash flows used in investing activities of \$90,720 during the quarter ended March 31, 2006 were due to purchases of equipment.

The cash flows provided by financing activities of \$870,253 consisted of \$1,153,226 borrowings under the credit facility—revolving credit and \$13,073 from the issuance of notes payable. Cash flows used in financing activities consisted of \$250,000 repayments under the credit facility—term loan and \$46,046 for repayments on notes payable.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk represents the risk of changes in value of a financial instrument, derivative or non-derivative, caused by fluctuations in interest rates, foreign exchange rates and equity prices. The Company has no financial instruments that give it exposure to foreign exchange rates or equity prices.

The Company's pre-tax earnings and cash flows are exposed to changes in interest rates. All borrowings under its credit facility bear interest based on the prime rate less .25%, except for the term loan, with a balance of \$312,977 as of March 31, 2006, which bears interest at a rate of prime plus .5% and a \$750,000 note to Goldman Associates of NY, Inc. which bears interest at prime. A hypothetical 10% adverse change in such rates would reduce the pre-tax earnings and cash flows for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 by approximately \$100,916 over a one-year period, assuming the borrowing level remains consistent with the outstanding borrowings as of March 31, 2006. The fair value of the borrowings under the credit facility is not affected by changes in market interest rates.

The Company's remaining interest-bearing obligations are at fixed rates of interest and as such, do not expose the pre-tax earnings and cash flows to changes in market interest rates. The change in fair value of the Company's fixed rate obligations resulting from a hypothetical 10% adverse change in interest rates would not be material.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

An evaluation has been carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and the operation of our "disclosure controls and procedures" (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of March 31, 2006 ("Evaluation Date"). Based on such evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, the disclosure controls and procedures are reasonably designed and effective to ensure that (i) information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and (ii) such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) Changes in Internal Controls

Subsequent to that evaluation, there have been no significant changes in our internal controls or other factors that could significantly affect these controls after such evaluation.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Universal Supply Group, Inc. is a New York corporation ("Universal"). On June 25, 1999, Universal acquired substantially all of the assets of Universal Supply Group, Inc., a New Jersey corporation, including its name, pursuant to the terms of a purchase agreement. The Company filed a copy of the purchase agreement with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 30, 1999 as Exhibit 10(g) on Form 10KSB, and the Company filed a copy of an amendment to the purchase agreement on July 9, 1999 as Exhibit 10(a)(ii) on Form 8-K. Subsequent to the sale, Universal Supply Group, Inc. (the selling corporation) formerly known as Universal Engineering Co., Inc., changed its name to Hilco, Inc. Hilco, Inc. acquired the assets of Amber Supply Co., Inc., formerly known as Amber Oil Burner Supply Co., Inc., in 1998, prior to Hilco's sale of assets to Universal. Hilco, Inc. is hereinafter referred to as the "Predecessor." The majority shareholders of Hilco, Inc. were John A. Hildebrandt and Paul Hildebrandt.

The Company understands that Predecessor and many other companies have been sued in the Superior Court of New Jersey (Middlesex County) by plaintiffs filing lawsuits alleging injury due to asbestos. Currently, there exist 123 plaintiffs in these lawsuits relating to alleged sales of asbestos products, or products containing asbestos, by the Predecessor. The Company never sold any asbestos related products.

Contents

Of the existing plaintiffs, 5 filed actions in 2006, 15 filed actions in 2005, 38 filed actions in 2004, 31 filed actions in 2003, and 34 filed actions in 2002. Seventy-eight other plaintiffs have had their actions dismissed and seven other plaintiffs have settled as of March 31, 2006 for a total of \$3,306,000. There has been no judgment against the Predecessor. Our Universal subsidiary was named by twenty-one of the existing plaintiffs; of these, six filed actions in 2001, one filed an action in 2003, eleven filed actions in 2005 and three filed actions in 2006. No case that names our Universal subsidiary has been settled or dismissed.

As set forth in more detail below, the Company has been indemnified against asbestos-based claims, and insurance companies are defending the interests of the Predecessor and the Company in these cases.

Based on advice of counsel, the Company believes that none of the litigation that was brought against the Company's Universal subsidiary through March 31, 2006 is material, and that the only material litigation that was brought against Predecessor through that date was Rhodes v. A.O. Smith Corporation, filed on April 26, 2004 in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Law Division, Middlesex County, Docket Number MID-L-2979-04AS. The Company was advised that the Rhodes case was settled for \$3,250,000 under an agreement reached in connection with a \$10,000,000 jury verdict that was rendered on August 5, 2005. The Company was not a defendant in the Rhodes case.

The Company believes that Rhodes differed from the other lawsuits in that plaintiff established that he contracted mesothelioma as a result of his occupational exposure to asbestos dust and fibers and that a predecessor of the Company was a major supplier of the asbestos containing products that allegedly caused his disease.

Indemnification

John A. Hildebrandt, Paul Hildebrandt and the Predecessor have jointly and severally agreed to indemnify our Universal subsidiary from and against any and all damages, liabilities and claims due to exposure to asbestos at any time prior to the June 25, 1999 closing of the purchase agreement referred to earlier. These agreements are set forth in the purchase agreement. Paul Hildebrandt, one of the indemnitors, was a director of the Company from September 29, 2004 to January 28, 2005.

The indemnitors may use their own counsel to defend these claims. The indemnitors are not liable for any settlement effected without their consent. The indemnitors may settle and pay money claims without the consent of the Company. There is no indemnification unless claims aggregate \$50,000; once this trigger point is reached, indemnification is required for all claims, including the first \$50,000, but excluding claims of less than \$10,000. The indemnification requirement survives at least until 30 days after the running of any relevant statutes of limitation.

The obligation of the indemnitors is joint and several, so that the Company can have recourse against any one or more of these indemnitors, whether or not any other indemnitor has previously defaulted on its obligation to us. There are no other limitations to our rights to indemnification.

Insurance

The assets that the Predecessor sold to us included its insurance policies and other agreements and contracts. The policies provide coverage for liability accruing during the periods for which premiums were paid. The Predecessor was formed in 1940. Copies of policies are available for each year beginning in 1970 and ending with the closing under the purchase agreement in 1999. Copies of policies for the period from 1940 to 1969 are not available.

[Contents](#)

Insurance companies acknowledge coverage for potential asbestos claims under certain of these policies. Insurance companies under additional policies have reserved their right to deny coverage but have continued to defend and indemnify the Predecessor and the Company under the contested policies.

There are periods during the years from 1940 to 1999 in which our Predecessor did not have coverage for potential asbestos claims. Subject to litigation, insurance companies may maintain that the existence of these periods' results in coverage for only a portion of a particular injury that varies with the period during which there was asbestos coverage relating to the injury, and that the balance of any settlement or judgment is to be paid by the insured. To date, no insurance company has claimed any contribution for a gap in coverage except for a claim for \$159.64 made by one insurance company to the Predecessor in 1995. The Predecessor asserted that it had no obligation to pay this amount and did not make any payment.

Insurance companies have to date defended us and the Predecessor, and have paid all settlement amounts and defense costs. Except for \$159.64 referred to above, the insurance companies have not requested any payments from us or from the Predecessor.

Our Universal subsidiary has not engaged in the sale of asbestos products since its formation in 1997. Its product liability policies for all years since 1998 exclude asbestos claims.

General

Regardless of indemnification and insurance coverage, we do not in any event consider our Company to be liable for the asbestos-based lawsuits that name us or for any other claim that arises as a result of actions or omissions by Predecessor companies. We expressly disclaimed the assumption of any liabilities when we purchased the assets of the Predecessor. It is our opinion that the existing asbestos litigation will not have a material adverse effect on the Company. Nevertheless, we could be materially and adversely affected if we are held liable for substantial asbestos claims or if the Company incurs substantial legal or settlement costs. This material and adverse effect would occur if indemnitors fail to honor their indemnification agreements and insurance is not available either because policy limits are exceeded, or because insurance companies successfully claim limitations on their liabilities by reason of gaps in coverage or otherwise.

Since we do not regard as likely the potential payment of any asbestos-based claim, we have not accrued any balance for any period relating to asbestos claims, and we have not recorded any amount for asbestos claims for any period in any of our financial statements.

Items 1A, 2, 3, 4 and 5 are not applicable and have been omitted.

Item 6. Exhibits

[31.1](#) Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

[31.2](#) Certification of Chief Financial Office Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

[32.1](#) Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

[32.2](#) Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: May 15, 2006

COLONIAL COMMERCIAL CORP.

/s/ William Pagano

William Pagano,
Chief Executive Officer

/s/ William Salek

William Salek,
Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, William Pagano, Chief Executive Officer of Colonial Commercial Corp., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Colonial Commercial Corp. (the "Registrant");
2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
4. The Registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13(a)-15(e) and 15(d)-15(e)) for the Registrant. We have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b) evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - c) disclosed in this quarterly report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 15, 2006

/s/ William Pagano

William Pagano
Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, William Salek, Chief Financial Officer of Colonial Commercial Corp., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Colonial Commercial Corp. (the "Registrant");
2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
4. The Registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13(a)-15(e) and 15(d)-15(e)) for the Registrant. We have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b) evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - c) disclosed in this quarterly report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 15, 2006

/s/ William Salek

William Salek
Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO

SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES–OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the quarterly report on Form 10–Q of Colonial Commercial Corp. (the “Company”) for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), I, William Pagano, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to section 18 U.S.C. 1350 as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes–Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

By: /s/ William Pagano

Name: William Pagano

Chief Executive Officer

May 15, 2006

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO

SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES–OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the quarterly report on Form 10–Q of Colonial Commercial Corp. (the “Company”) for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), I, William Salek, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to section 18 U.S.C. 1350 as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes–Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

By: /s/ William Salek
Name: William Salek
Chief Financial Officer
May 15, 2006